



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/845,329		05/01/2001	Martin Martin San Juan	550-228 2248 EXAMINER		
23117	7590	08/08/2005				
		ERHYE, PC	CHO, HONG SOL			
901 NORTH ARLINGTO		E ROAD, 11TH FLOO 22203	K	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2662		
	,					
			•	DATE MAIL ED. 09/09/2004	DATE MAIL ED. 09/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

 		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/845,329	SAN JUAN, MARTIN MARTIN			
Office Action Su	ımmary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Hong Cho	2662			
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to commun	ication(s) filed on 3/14/2	2005.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	` '	action is non-final.	•			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 3-8 and 10 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,9 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-8)		4) Interview Summary				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra Information Disclosure Statement(s Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The following is in response to the amendments filed on 3/14/2005. Claims 1-11 remain in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102(b) that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yanai et al (U.S 5708784), hereinafter referred to as Yanai.

Re claims 1, 2, and 11, Yanai discloses a dual bus architecture for a computer system including a number of computer system devices (master logic units) and a number of computer system resources (slave logic units). Yanai discloses allowing access to a first one of the computer system resources by a selected first one of the computer system devices over the first communication bus (a first bus for coupling a first master logic unit with a plurality of slave logic units to enable the first master logic unit to issue a first transfer request to any of said slave logic units), and for simultaneously allowing access to a second one of the computer system resources by a second one of the computer

Application/Control Number: 09/845,329 Page 3

Art Unit: 2662

system devices over the second communication bus (a second bus for coupling a second master logic unit with a subset of said plurality of slave logic units to enable the second master logic unit to issue a second transfer request to any of the slave logic units in said subset, column 1, lines 40-54). Yanai discloses first and second bus arbitrators providing bus arbitration functions allowing first and second computer system devices to access first and second computer system resources (a slave interface mechanism associated with each slave logic unit in said subset and comprising switching logic arranged to connect either the first bus or the second bus to the corresponding slave logic unit to enable either the first transfer request or the second transfer request to be routed to that slave logic unit, abstract, column 1, lines 55-63; column 2, lines 15-24).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yanai in view of Lai et al (U.S 6546448), hereinafter referred to as Lai.

Re claim 9, Yanai discloses all of the limitations of the base claim, but fails to teach the slave interface mechanism comprising a buffer for temporarily storing a transfer

Application/Control Number: 09/845,329 Page 4

Art Unit: 2662

request issued to the corresponding slave logic unit from one of said master logic units if another transfer request is already being handled by that slave logic unit. Lai discloses temporarily storing the bus inquiry signal in the buffer circuit (column 4, lines 60-61). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Yanai to implement the buffer circuit of Lai in storing a transfer request when the requested resource is busy so that the requested service would be carried out by sequential order without an interruption or loss of service request.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 9, and 11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - US Patent (5640517) to Parks et al
 - US Patent (5761450) to Shah
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hong Cho whose telephone number is 571-272-3087.

 The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri during 7 am to 4 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/845,329 Page 5

Art Unit: 2662

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on 571-272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-3088.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hong Cho
Patent Examiner
8/3/2005

HASSAN KIZUU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER SUPERVISORY OGY CENTER 2600